G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1909
(Mangai)

File No. 133 - 09

Serial Nos. 167

Papers Filed.

Month February 1910

SUBJECT.

Rejects the appeal of Panda Row, Amildr of the Ramanatha Devaru & Tirumala Devaru temples in the Kuravangi village Chikmagalur Taluk,

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

G.R.S. 11] 190 9

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Miscellaneous)

NOTES.

File No. /33-09

FILE HEADING.

Appeal petition from Archak Pandu Rau of Kurumangi Village, Chickmagalur Tk., against the Dy Commr's. order re the Archakship of Sri Ranganatha Devaru and Srinivasa Devaru temples in the said village

From

Archak Pandu Rau

No.	Dated	Received
Diary No. 347	Enclos 1	Spare Copies — Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

R. Secretary's order:— First receive.

Submitted with papers.

Dy 21/1/09

S.I.C.

Dy 21/1/09

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB—30,000—3-1-07

Revenue cretariat.

Inam File No. 133 of 1909

Serial No.	
2	To, The Dy. Commr Kadur Distt O M no 646 Dt 2-9-09 Requests the submission of the reins connected with the case together with a detailed report thereon To, Archak Pandu Rau Endt no 645 Dt 2-9-09 Intimates the above
3	From, The Dy Commr, Kadur Distt Letter no 157 Dt 14-10-09 Submits the Report called for in Sl 2
4	From, Archak Pandu Rau Petition Dt 1-12-09 Prays for prohibitory order directing stay of the Dy commrs. order appealed against pending the disposal of his appeal-petition by Govt Submitted with a Dft calling for the extracts of the Inam Registers in View To know who the Ryots were at the Inam Settlements AC 24-12-09
5	To the Inam Supdt Docket no 1612 Dt 30 12.09 Calls for Inam extracts relating to the grant of Inam lands to the 2 temples in Kurnsange Hobli. The Shekanayakanahalli

Govt

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

2]
and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 133 of 1909

Serial No.

6. From, The Inam Supdt

Letter no. 58 dt 10.1.1910

Submits Inam extracts called for in Sl 5

As regards the Ranganatha Devam temple, it is mentioned in the Inam extract that "Poojari Thimmi possesses the Inam and gets the services rendered.

Hag 31)

As for the Srinivasa Devam temple, it is mentioned that "Poojaries Goodiah and Goode render services and enjoy the Inam."

Submitted for orders

AD
18/1/1910

The facts of the case are clear from the English memo dt 6th July 09 in the Vernacular file and are briefly summarized below:—

'ar 5)

This is a dispute relating to the Archakship of Ranganathaswamy and Srinivasa Devam temples at Kurunangi, Chickmagalur Tk. The temples are Muz. institns endowed with Inam lands measuring 61-39 and assessed at Rs 101-15-0 The Mullavaru of Ranganathaswamy is in a Stone building in the Hills while the Utsavaru o

BPG—55,000—27.4.09

Revenue Secretariat. | mmg File No. 133 of 1909

Serial No.

located in a small temple in the village the temple-building of Tirumala Devaru having fallen into ruins, the Deity is kept in the small temple of Ranganatha Swamy referred to.

The claimants to the Archakship are noted below:—

Guddiah (No issue) wife Lakshmamma	Rangajja Pandoraja (Applt before Govt)

It is said that Guddiah and Rangajja while alive were doing Puje by annual turns and were each enjoying one-half of the entire Inam lands. Guddiah and Rangajja died recently and the present rival claimants are Lakshmamma (former's widow) and Pandoraja (latter's son) Lakshmamma desires that her sister's son Hanumantha may be permitted to succeed to the rights of the Archakship of her husband The exact relationship of Guddiah and Rangajjah cannot be ascertained (both parties having produced 2 different genealogical trees), but it is reported that Lakshmamma, Rangajja's wife and Hanumantha's mother are sisters (vide Amildar's report dt 1901

+ Vide 18)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

Revenue retariat. | Mmz File No. 133 of 1909

Serial No.

dated 13th Febry. 1909).

Hag 4) Lakshmamma says that Hanumanta was living with her and her husband while alive, for a long time past, that her husband wanted to take the boy in adoption and that he is her foster-son and performed the obsequies of her deceased husband and prays that he may be permitted to do Puje in place of her husband and enjoy the lands.

Hag 5) Pandu Rau objects to the applt of Hanumantha and states that his father had merely allowed Gudoin to do Puje. He further represents that that the post should not be given to one outsider unconnected with the family and that Lakshmamma is entitled to maintenance during her life-time.

The Amildar has examined the witnesses cited by both parties as well as the documents produced.

Hag 1-4) The Amildar reports that the village is divided into parties and suggests that the manual system of worship may be introduced whereby the nominee of Lakshmamma viz Hanumantha

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

evenue
iat. | Revg File No. 133 of 1909.

Serial No.

and Pandurayya may be permitted to conduct worship each a year and enjoy the Inam lands in equal shares.

On 24.7.1909 the Dy Commr has ordered that Lakshmamma and Pandurayya are each entitled to one-half of the Puja hak and the Inam lands, and that the former can get the duties performed by Hanumantha or any other person she pleases

Against the above order, Pandurayya has appealed to Govt

The grounds for appeal are:—

1) that he does not know full well whether Lakshmamma is his cousin and that as she is issueless, the nearest male heir in the family is competent to succeed to the post and

2) that the valuable jewels of the temple should not be given to the custody of Lakshmamma's Gumastha without taking adequate security.

Submitted for orders — Incomplete
28/1/10 29.1.1910
28/1/10

As ordered, both the oral and documentary evidence in this case is Dhanumarayya below:—

During the Amildar's enquiry,

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

nue
'at.

Mrng File No. 133 of 1909

Serial No.

Lakshmamma deposes in her deposition of 16th November 1908, that the under-mentioned witnesses may be examined:—

1) Bundanike Hanumanna (70 years) 2) Channa Basave Gowda (55 or 60 years)
3) Kodiyalada Thimma (58 years) 4) Maridrangana Javiah (48 years)
and 5) Ramalingana Puttiah. (49 years)

On 14-12-08, Hanumanna deposes that Lakshmamma's husband Guddiah's father Gudda Thimmah and Pandaraza's grand-father Kunda Gowdah (both of whom he had seen) were performing Puje in annual turns and enjoying one-half of the Inam lands, that Hanumantha Razza at the early age of 10 or 12, was with Guddiah, performing the Archak duties also now and then; that Gowdah wanted to take the boy in adoption but the deponent did not know whether adoption actually took place; that Guddiah and Rangajja were cousin (Yes & Bs of) brothers; and that since Guddiah's death, Pandaraza has alone been doing the Archak duties without allowing Lakshmamma's share of the Puje Hak. When cross-examined by Pandaraza, he further states that some 20 or 25 years ago, Lakshmamma was living with her parents for a year or so; that Pandaraza's father brought her back and that since then, she was living with her husband

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

R. S.

Revenue Secretariat.

Mmz File No. 133 of 1909

Serial No.

In Corroborating the Statement of Hanumanna, the remaining four witnesses have also Seposed on the same date that Rangajja and Gurrish were divided cousin brothers and that the latter's obsequies were performed by his widow and the boy Hanumantha Raya.

(During the Shekdar's enquiry, these witnesses (except Thimma) and a few others have executed a mahazar on 25-6-08 to the above effect

On the same date, Shanbhogue Subbanna and Patel Somare Gowda have Sepos before the Amildar corroborating the Statements of the witnesses. The Patel has also said that a date had been fixed for adoption, but the Purohit's absence stood in the way and some time after, Guddiah died.

In his deposition of 30-9-08, Shanbhogue Subbanna stated that the villagers told him that Pandurazja's grand-father and Lakshmamma's husband's father were brothers.

In his deposition of 14th Decr 08, Pandurazja has cited 7 witnesses, but as desired by him, only 4 were examined by the Amildar on 18th January 1909.

Rangiah aged 35 years says that some villagers were telling him that Pandu raya's father has allowed Gurrah to sit in

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Revenue t. | Inam File No. 133 of 1909

Serial No.

and that he did not know their relationship

Gowre Gowda aged 50 years says that Guddiah and Pandurazas father were both doing Puje and enjoying the Inam lands that it was said that they were cousins, and that when Gundiah was alive, Hanuma says was under his protection. He also says that he had seen Guddia's father Guddi Thimmiah and Guddiah who were performing Puje, that he had not seen Gudda Thimmiah father and that they and Pandurazas he had heard it said that grand-father were cousins.

Ranga Setty aged 38 years says that Pandurazas father had allowed Gundiah to do Puje, that Pandurazas and Guddiah were performing Puje and enjoying the lands, that he did not know their relationship, that Pandurazas was the Hakdar as he had Inam Patta and other documents and that Pandurazas and not Guddiah was being permitted to handle the temple jewels.

Ranga Gowda aged 23 years says that Pandurazas and Gundiah were both performing Puje and that he neither knew their relationship nor the period of worship by each of them.

Written petition dated 20th Sept.

NOTE.—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-1-09

(10

ue (

Heavy File No. 133 of 190

Serial No.

x Flag 4)

a genealogical Tree has been produced by Lakshamamma and her foster son Hanumanthu Raya – It is stated in the petition that the genealogical Tree is ba on that which had been prepared by Guddiah. According to this, Guddiah and Rangajja are the third and the fourth in descent in the male line.

Panduraya has produced another – genealogical Tree which widely differs from the one produced by Lakshmamma –

Lakshmamma has produced copies of a number of documents

A number of These are receipts for having paid the Kandayam. A karar of the year Swabhanu is produced wherein Rangajja and Guddiah have agreed to conduct worship in rotation of one year each. Another karar was executed before the Panchayatdars in the year 1883 whereby, during Rangajja's Pujaruke, Gudde should keep watch over the Grass kanal at the foot of the Hills and Vice Versa – A – receipt was given in 1884 by Gudde for the jewels received by him for some utsavam temple

Panduraya has produced Duan extract receipts for having paid up the Govt dues, list of temple properties pan given to his ancestors

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

venue

Press File No. 133 of 190

Serial No..

and notice issued to him from the Taluk on 20th November 1905 in the matter of repairs to the temples. He has also produced the list of temple properties attached in the presence of the Panchayatdars and the petition of 1876 praying that these properties may be handed over to the Archaic for use in the temples.

Submitted for orders

30/1/6

There is not much dispute about the facts. It is admitted that appellant's father and respondent's husband were doing pooja by turns.

Appellant contends that respondent being a widow without any issue cannot claim to be pujari but is only entitled to maintenance. He is willing that she might enjoy some lands during her lifetime.

The only question is whether or not a woman can claim the office. The D. J. Court has decided in her favour from permitting her to appoint a pujari. This is fair.

The contention that she is entitled to maintenance cannot be accepted as the temple inam lands can only be looked upon as remuneration for service and not as private property. They can only be enjoyed by the person rendering the service (Vide G. order No 519, 8

A

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-06

venue

Civil File No. 133 of 1909

Serial No.

2712

7

7

No. The Hon'ble Kadar Nath

No 2005 of 8 & 9 1910

States that Govt see no reason why the Respondent Lakshamma should be deprived of the office of the Pujari &c Lands &c.

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

6th May 1907 (marked copy sent)

340

Submitted to the Commissioner Anantapur

31/1/1910

I do not see why the widow should be deprived of the office so long as she can produce an acceptable substitute. If necessary, at D.C.'s discretion Security may be taken for the value of the temple property to be entrusted to the pujarin. If the ~~Appt~~ appellant is not here, I do not see ~~that~~ any necessity to hear him.

3/2/10

Issue the draft sent
8/2/1910

ue

File No. 133 of 190

Serial No.

can produce a competent substitute, and accordingly rejects the appeal.

Encl. No 2006 dy 8.2.1910 to Pandaranga Rao (Appellant) Intimates the above

To. Lakshamma (Respondent) Forwards copy of the above

GPB—55,000—27.4.09



13/8/09

347

Mur F 133-09-

2 ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಸ್ವತಃ ಕಾಡುವುದನ್ನೆ ಅ

ಆದರೆ, ಪಂಚಶಿಲ್ಪಿಗಳು ಬಾಂಬುಪಾದವನ್ನು ಹೊರಪಡಿಸಿ
ಯಾಂದರಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಇದು ಕಾಷ್ಠಿಯ ಪಂಚಾಂಗದ ಎಂಟನೆಯ
ಶಿಲ್ಪವಿದೆ. ಪಂಚಶಿಲ್ಪಿಗಳು ಕಾಡುವುದನ್ನು ಯಾವುದೇ
ತಿರುಗುವಿಲ್ಲ. ಪೆಸರುಗಳು ಹುಟ್ಟಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವಾಗಲೇ ಪಂಚಾಂಗದ
ಅಕ್ಷಿಸೂತ್ರದ ಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಿಲ್ಲು ಮುಖಾಮುಖಿ ಯಾಂದು ಪೆಸರು ಅಕ್ಷಿಸೂತ್ರ
ಅಡ್ಡಲಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆ.

ಶಿಲ್ಪಿಯ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಪಶ್ಚಿಮದಲ್ಲಿ, ಶಿಲ್ಪಿಯ ಎಡಕೈಯ
ಕಲ್ಲಿನ ಪೆಲಿಕಾರು ಕಲ್ಲಿನ ಬಾಳೆಯಿಂದ ಬಲಕೈನ ಗಮನ
ತಿರುಗುತ್ತೆ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಪಂಚಾಂಗ 200.3 ಬಾಳೆಯಾಗಿ
ಬಾಳೆಯ ಎರಡೆಸಳು ಅಂಚಿನ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯ
ಯಿಂದಾಗಿದೆ. ಬಾಳೆಯ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಎಲೆಯನ್ನು ಶಿಲ್ಪಿಯ
ಸ್ಥಿರ ಕಲಾಂಶಗಳು ತಿರುಗುತ್ತೆ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಪಡಿಸಿ
ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಿಂದಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಬಾಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಲಕ್ಷಣ
ಗಮನಿಸಿದರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಪಂಚಾಂಗದಲ್ಲಿ
ಯಾಂದು ಬಾಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾಂದು ಬಾಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಹೇಳಿದೆ.

ಅಕ್ಷಿಯಂತ್ರದ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಪಂಚಶಿಲ್ಪಿಯ ಬಲಕೈಯ ಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಇರುತ್ತೆ.

ಈಗಿನ್ನು ಯಾವ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಬಾಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಾಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಶಿಲ್ಪಿಯಾಗಿರುವಾಗಲೇ ಪಂಚಶಿಲ್ಪಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಕ್ಷಿಸೂತ್ರದ ಸ್ಥಾನ
ಕಲ್ಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪಂಚಾಂಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾಂದು ಬಾಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪಂಚಾಂಗದ ಸ್ಥಾನ
ಪಂಚಾಂಗದ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಪಂಚಾಂಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಕ್ಷಿಸೂತ್ರದ ಸ್ಥಾನ
ಪಂಚಾಂಗದ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಪಂಚಾಂಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಕ್ಷಿಸೂತ್ರದ ಸ್ಥಾನ

644 circle 2

M-7-133-09-2

Muz.4]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Appeal Petition D ~~No.~~ dated 13·8·09 received at this office from Archak Pandurao of Kurubangi Village, Chuck Nagalur Tk., against the Dy. Commr's order re the Archakship of Sri Ranganatha Deva and Sirumala Devaru temple in the said Village, is forwarded, together with its one enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District for submission of the entire records connected with the case together with a detailed report thereon

By Order

Secretary,

BANGALORE,

GPB—

645 / M.7.133-09-2 — 2-9-09.

[illegible]

By order
for Secretary

VII Jul

D 813

1909

From The Deputy Commissioner of Kadur.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Rev. & Rev. Department.

Dated 14th October 1909.

Despatched 16th October 1909.

Received

General No. 1876/57

No.

Enclosure No. 1 f

Submitting the entire records relating to the Archakship of Sri Ranganathaswami and Tirumala Devaru temples in the Kuravangi village of the Chikmagalur Taluk, and stating that the facts of the case are fully set forth in the memo. enclosed.

Refer

AG

20/10/09

WS

From

K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,

Deputy Commissioner of Kadur.

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Gen. and Rev. Departments.

Dated, Chikmagalur, 14th October 1909.

Sir,

With reference to your Official memorandum no. 644/Inam. F. 133-09-2 dated 13th August 1909, in re the Archakship of the Sri Ranganathaswami and Thirumala Devaru temples in the Kurumangi village, Chikmagalur Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith the entire Vernacular proceedings relating to the case, and to state that the facts of the case are fully set forth in the memo enclosed in the records and my English order dated 6th July 1909.

The enclosures received with the above are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obt. Servant,

Dr. Srinivasa Iyengar

Dy Deputy Commissioner

Kadur

Kadur

8

వి 1052

M-7-133—09-

రెడ్డి

reed
4-12-09

1-12-09.

M-7-133-09-2

ఏమిటి ఈ కార్యక్రమం గురించి ఆ వివరాలు
మీకు తెలుసుకోవాలని ఉంటే- చదువుకోవచ్చు.

ధన్యవాదములు

10

Muz. 1]

190

From

To

The Supdt of
Inam Settlements
in Mysore

Dated

Despatched 30th Dec 97

Received

General No. 1612

No.
M 7 100-07-5

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request
to be furnished with an
extracts of the Inam Register
pertaining to the grant of
Inam lands in Kurmange
village of the Chickmagalur
Taluk for the benefit of
Sri Kanganathaswamy and
Srimala Devaru Temple,
& in the said village

I have &c
Sd/- [illegible]
Secretary

GPL—3,000- 19-9-07

D 1305

Mysore Secrets 133 - 09 - 6

1909-18

From

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore. Genl. & Rev Depts.

Dated 10
Despatched 11 } Jany. 1910
Received

General No. 58
No. ..

Enclosure No. 2.

Forwards herewith copies of Inam Registers pertaining to the Grant of Inam lands in Kuruvanpi Village of the Chikkamagalur Taluk for the benefit of Sri Ranganathaswami and Tirumaladevaru temples

Refer (68 ")

Ag 27

19/1/10

Ag

(GPL—2 (0 000—12 1 0)

12

Inam Superintendent's Office,

No: 58
Encl 2.

Dated, Bangalore 10th/11th January 1910

From

The Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H H the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue
Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 1612
M 7 133-09-5
dated 30th December 1909, I have the honor to forward herewith copies of the Inam Registers pertaining to the grant of Inam lands in Kuravangi village of the Chikkamagalore Taluk, for the benefit of Sri Ranga-nathaswami and Tirumala devaru temples in the said village.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore.

Register Sheet No. 60

Village Kuravanga

Nagani - Hoole.

Taluk - Chikkanagaloor.

13

Copy of the

Register of Inams in the village of Kuravangi in the

CLASS: EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1.	2	3		
		Inam account 1805-		
8	Devadaya	Wet	3798	·78
		Jamy account 1861.		
		Wet S.C.C.P	4-6-4	·27
		Dry	4-2-4	·58
				·85
		Pahanies of 1871.		
		Wet No 88	S.C.C.P 4-5-4	·23
		Dry C No 687	4-2-4	·58
			4-7-4	·81
		Pahanies of 1842 & Chittas 1855 to 1872		
		Wet No 88	4-6-4	·27
		Dry C No 687	4-2-4	·58
			4-8-4	·85

Sammat of Morle in the Taluk of Chikkamagaloor 14

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc. or liable to quit-rent, i. e., jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
-13-10			
13-9 -8-2 4-5-11	—	For the support of the temple which is said to be in good order and puja performed.	3-12-6
1-13-9 1-8-3 4-6-a			
2-13-9 1-8-3 4-6-a } adopted.			

15

in the District.

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM	
By whom granted, and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
	Pymaishy 1805 S. Ranga Wet Sqr Yards. 3797 1/2 Rs 2-13-10 Insanity account 1805. S. Rangaswamy Wet Sqr Yards. 3798 Rs 2-13-10 Jody Rs 2-4-8 Jary Rs 0-9-2.	Jary account 1832 S. Ranganathade wet 5k k.p 0-6-0 Rs 2-13-5 Jody Rs 2-4-4 Jary Rs 0-9-1. Dc account 1861. Dc wet 5c.c.p 0-6-0 Rs 2-13-9 Dry 5.c 0-2-0 Rs 1-8-2 Rs 4-5-11 Jody Rs 3-12-6 Jary Rs 0-9-5

17

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, etc, of each sharer should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Yaganipoor Ranganatha Devaru. Poojari Srinivi possesses the Inam & gets the services rendered.			

18.

Division

GPB—50—9-5-08

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
Whole grant. [Signed] T. Kitto. 12-9-74 Offg Asst. Supt. This Inam is not included in Wargs.— To be confirmed on the existing jodi viz. Rs 3-12-a. [Signed] C. SoobRao Assistant. 27-4-72	Confirmed. [Signed] Robt. Cole. Superintendent. 6-11-72.
Compd. Ty Inam Superintendent's office Bangalore 4/12 January 1910	Copy L. Nanjee Rao Superintendent of Inam settlements in Mysore

Register Sheet No. 60
Village - Kuravangi
Magani - Morle
Taluk - Chikkamagalore

20

Copy of the Register of Inams in the village of Kuravangi in the.

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
5	Devadaya	Pymaisley 1805		
		Wet	1089 3	2.29
		Dry	19095 1/4	3.95
				6.24
		Pahani of 1871 & Chittas 1872		
		Wet Nos. 48, 52 532 & 542	1 — 4 — 4	.90
		Dry Nos. 754, 769 801	4 — 18 — 4	5.21
			1 — 18 — 4	6-11
		Pahani of 1842 & Chittas 1853 & 67		
		Wet Nos 48 52, 532 542	1 — 4 — 4	1.08
		Dry Nos 754 769 801	4 — 18 — 4	5.21
			2 — 2 — 4	6.29

Title Deed No. 44656
Date of Prapti 1-1-73
Date of issue 30-4-78
To Talatti

Sammat of Morle in the Taluk of Chikkamagaloor

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc. or liable to quit-rent, *i. e.*, jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
12-7-4 3-8-3 15-15-3	— —	For the support of the Temple which is said to be in good order and poojé performed.	11-12-4.
15-15-2			
16-1-2.			

22

in the

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted, and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
	Pymaishy 1805 Tirumaladevar Wet Sqr. Yards 10893 Rs 12-7-u Dry S.C 19095 ¼ Rs 3-8-3 Rs 15-15-3 Inamdy account 1805. Do Jodi Rs 11-10-4 Jary Rs 4-4-11	Jary account 1832. Thirumaladevar Wet S.C 1-4-u Rs 12-8-5 Dry 6-15-4 Rs 3-8-9 Rs 16-1-2 Jodi Rs 11-12-4 Jary Rs 4-4-10 Do account 1861. do do

2 4

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Kuruvangi Thirumala Devaru Poojaries Goodidalu & Goodi under Services, and enjoy the Inam.			

25

Division

GPB—50—9-5-08

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
Whole grant. /Signed/ J Fitto Offg Asst Supt 12-9-74	Confirmed /Signed/ Robt Cole. Superintendent 6-11-72.
This Vacation is not included in Warga To be confirmed on the existing Jodi viz Rs 11-12-0 /Signed/ Lobo Rao Assistant. 27-4-72	"Copy" Ruama Rao Superintendent of Inam Settls in Mysore
Compd Inam Superintendent's Office Bangalore dated 4th January 1910	

2C

. 28.]

File No. 133-09

Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 19

Date of issue 8th February 191

Signed by Mr. A. S. Iyer

READ—

Petition regd no 0-347 dated 13th August 1909 from Pandu Rao of Kurunangi village, Chickmagalur Talukk, appealing against the Dy Commrs. order regarding the Archakship of Sri Ranganathaswamy and Srinivasa Devasthanam temples in the said village.

ORDER THEREON.—No.

Letter no 157 dated 14th October 1909 from the Dy. Commr, Kadur dist, submitting records of the case.

Order thereon no. 2175

Appellant Pandu Rao's

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—5,000—7-5-09

father Rangajja and one Guddiah were performing the Puje of Sri Ranganatha Devaru and Tirumala Devaru temples at Kurumangi in the Chickmagalur Taluk by turns in alternate years. After Rangajja's death, appellant was appointed Pujari in his place & Guddiah also having died, the Dy Commr declared that Guddiah's wife Lakshmamma was entitled to the Puje hak in equal shares with the appellant and ~~that Lakshmamma was at liberty~~ to nominate her own Gumastha for her turn.

2) Against the foregoing order, Pandu Rao has appealed to Govt and Hr contends that Respt Lakshmamma being a woman cannot claim the Pujaritke & appointed Pujari

3) On a consideration of the facts of the case, Govt see no reason why the Respt Lakshmamma should be deprived of the office so long as she can produce

28

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

an acceptable and competent substitute. ~~They direct that if necessary, at the Commrs. discretion, security may be taken for the value of the temple property to be entrusted to the Guarantee~~ The appeal is accordingly rejected.

If the Commrs thinks it necessary Khemiji may be called upon for the value of the temple property entrusted to Lakshmana Pandit for safekeeping.

MGCPB—30,000—5-1-05

No. 2006

20

By order,

Secretary

No. 2061/

By order,

Secretary